G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907

(Inwards)

File No. 306

Serial Nos. 1—6.

Papers Filed.

Month May 1911

SUBJECT.

Rejects the review-petition of Khaddis Sesachar praying for a re consideration of the Govt order re the restoration of the Inam lands endowed to Hanumanahalli Anjaneyaswamy temple, Siddaghatta Tq.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
May F. No 77 of 1894	WF 510—11
100 of 1901	194-21

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. 306 of 1907

Note continued

(Slip F) The draft sanctioning the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation was accordingly issued (Printed Correspondence)

Khaddi Sesha Iyer has presented a review-application praying for a re-consideration of the orders of Govt

x no. 2991 dt. 24/1/1908 (Paper under disposal)

He states that the Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple at Siddaghatta was built by his father who obtained from one Rao Rama Rao a gift of the Kasamgutta Village of Hanumenahalli, that he has been conducting puja since his father's death some 25 years ago, and that it is a mistake to treat this village as an endowment of Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple at Hanumenahalli and to give it over to Adi Narrappa Son of Venkataramaniah. He prays

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

that the above village may be ordered to be made over to Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple at Siddaghatta

Submitted for orders

The Govt. order reviewed against is dated 7th January 1905 & the review application is of 24th January 1908. Three years after, the Govt. order is sought to be reviewed. The Printed Pro: issued under the orders of the late Dewan and the late 2nd Commissioner is submitted.

Submitted
4/8/1908

I have this day heard the Petr. Ruddi Venkatachar. He says that he was absent from his village and hence the delay in petitioning. He says that both the temples belong to him, and that

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. 306 of 1907.

orders were passed without
his being asked

Send the file to the Collector
for enquiry & report
After may be heard and the
documents called upon by him
examined.

1/4/08

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

Revenue t.

Inwd File No. 306 of 1907

Serial No.

To The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar Dt
No 4395 dated 14th April 1908
Forwards the petition for enquiry and report and for submission of the entire records together with his opinion

To Khaddi Seshagiri, Petitioner
Endorsement no 4396 dated 14th April 1908
Intimates the above.

From The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar Dt
No 6275/208 dated 7th March 1910.
Submits report, records &c as called for.

The Deputy Commissioner states that he himself and the Sub Division Officer, Chikballapur, held a preliminary ~~enquiry~~ enquiry into the matter and from the statements given by petitioner Khaddi Seshagiri it appears that it was at his express request and representation that the village of Hanumenahalli was registered at the main enquiry in the name of the Hanumenahalli Anjaneyaswami Temple, and that he did so with a view to defraud his co-sharers in the puja hak of the Siddaghatta Anjaneyaswami Temple He further states that as the petitioner alienated the village and mismanaged the Hanumenahalli Temple, the Govt directed the resumption of Hanumenahalli village and the restoration of the same to the alienee on certain conditions

Note.—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

and that the records show that the petitioner was not unaware of these facts. The Depn Commr. concludes by remarking that it would be quite inequitable to allow the petitioner to come at this late stage and profit by his own misdeed and deceit by disturbing the Govt Order of 1907 Submitted under with a draft proposal or by Memo Other Order

Ship order No-718 Dy 17 12 97

The Jothi Village of Hanumanthatti in the Tidlaghatta Taluk was taken under first Management on the ground that it could not be alienated as it was a Devadaya Inam in favour of the Anjaneyaswami Temple at Tidlaghatta

One Aleeke Venkatanarayanappa applied for a review of this order. In the course of the enquiry it was found that the Village was endowed to the Anjaneyaswami Temple at Hanumanthatti and not to the Anjaneyaswami Temple at Tidlaghatta. It was also reported that it was a backward Village and that the management of it by first was not beneficial to the Temple. The restoration of the Inam Village to the Aleeke was sanctioned.

Ship J. Order No 2380 in 7-46-97 Dy 7-1-1905.

Khadri Sesha Chetty prays for a declaration to be the Archak of the Tidlaghatta Anjaneyaswami Temple and prays for a review of the second order on the ground that the Village is an Inam to the [illegible]

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

Sivakasi temple and not to the Hanumanakatti Temple -

He has produced three documents in support of his contention of which step Q purports to be a deed of gift executed in favour of the Sidaghatti Temple.

Petitioner has admitted before the Sub Divisional Officer that the Village was at his own request registered as the Hanumanakatti Temple and that his appeal was made with the intention of defending the claims of the Sarkar in the favour of the Sidaghatti Temple.

For this reason and also for the reason that the Village was not originally dewan the Sub Divisional Officer recommended that Engineer's petition should not be granted

The Dy Comr has also received the petitioner's statement from which it will be seen that the party wants the management to be made over to him though the Inam Village might continue in the name of the Hanumanakatti Temple

The Dy Comr supports the Sub Divisional Officer's recommendation.

4th Draft of document submitted to Legal Councillor

Advocate General

9/4/1910

3258

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,0 0—27-4-09

evenue
at.

File No. 306

Serial No.

I have no reason

The order drafted seems to be right, but I wish to hear the Advr by before finally disposing of the case.

Post

14/4/10

Bnp Advr with Dft No 2 & 12 - 19.4.1910

Submtd. with Dft notice, Issue

3/5/10 3/5/10 8/5/1910

The Advr (personally heard) represents that 2/3 of the village had been given by him as Khayam Kandaya to Venkatramanappa and that 1/3 remained in his own possession; that he had built a temple which the alienee pulled down that one archana only remained, that ~~the~~ he was before he could restore it all, that the village became be-chirakh; that Govt intervened on repre

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

12]
ral and Revenue Secretariat.

D

D1703 8

File No. 306 of 1907

Serial No.

sentations made by ~~him~~ his own family.

He says also that one-third of the village was never in the possession of ~~Ramana~~ Venkata ramana.

Ask the Dy Cr to report whether the last allegation is true & if so, why the whole village was recommended to be given back to the posn of Venkatarama Manappa's heirs.

28/7/10

Issue Un-dup[illegible]

To, The Dy Commr, Kolar Dist

Docket no 307 Dy 29-4-1910

Enquires whether there is any truth in the pet[illegible] allegation that 1/3 of the entire village was never in the possession of the alienee Venkata Ramanappa, and if so, why the whole village was recommended

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB - 55,000—27 4.09

R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 306 of 1907

Serial No.

to be given back to the alienee.

From, the Dy Commr, Kolar Dist.

Letter no. 8916/303 Dy 11.5.1911.

Submits the Kyphiyat obtained on 28th March 1911 from Adinarayappa son of Venkataramanappa stating that the entire village was in the ~~enjoyment~~ possession of his father and adds that arrangements have been made for conducting the worship satisfactorily in the temples.

Submitted for orders

AV(137) 22/5/1911 BL 23/5

May the draft endorsement declining to reconsider the Govt. order, issue in the circumstances?

2nd Councillor). 23-5-11

Yes

27/5/11

Issue

29.5.11

Revenue
iat.

Mer File No. 306 of 1907

1938

Serial
No.

Endt
to Seshachar Khadi, Siddlaghatta
No 3077 D 31.5.1911
declining to reconsider
the Govt order already passed

Copy of the above forwarded to
The Dy Commr Kolar. Dlr
No 3078 D 31 5.1911.

991
24/1/08

The Secretary
to the Court of
Inquiry
the Petitioner
Respondent

Subm'td.
24/1/08

Petition
and Appellant
Khushi Mahadeo
resident at Gillyghat
Kolaba District
(Discharged
Sepoy of 3rd
Brigade Bombay
Sappers & Miners)

Counter Petition
and Respondent
Ethelbert Edward
Lewis and
Lieutenant
Adjutant &c.

The Appellant (Petitioner) prefers
this Appeal from the order passed by
the Commanding Officer
in the Proceedings
held in the Proceedings
46-08 dated 7/1/08 whereby
the Appellant was
Reduced to the
following punishment
I The Petitioner

the several orders passed in the Case.

II The said final order was passed on the representations made by the several District Officers who gave the Petitioner no opportunity to state & prove his case and to produce the documents in support of his title

III When the said orders were being executed, the Petitioner came to know of them and prayed that the case might be reopened & his documents perused & to do justice on instituting necessary enquiries as to the truth of the allegations & representations made by him.

IV In reply, the Petitioner was informed by the Deputy Commissioner in his endorsement no: 63 of 27/7/07 (original hereunto annexed) 5/8/07 that he could not interfere as the Govt had already passed final orders in the matter as the Govt proceedings quoted above

V In the representations made by the several District Officers the Petitioner's claims were not heard nor the documents perused & so there has been a failure of justice as seen by the recent orders of the Govt and the Vayalvaguthas ..

village of Hanumanahalli have been transferred from one ~~abbaya~~ temple to another as both of them go by the same name, although one is situated at Siddaghatta and the other at Hanumanahalli

II The temple at Siddaghatta was built by the Petitioner's father about 100 years ago. He endowed the temple with 2 Inams viz. of his own & obtained from one Pandu Ranga Rao a gift of the village to the said institution in the year Vikari. Petitioner's father continued to worship the idol at Siddaghatta temple till his death 25 years ago & the Petitioner has been worshipping ever since his father's death

III Under the Order in force these properties are given over to the Executive Settlement & thus the temple at Siddaghatta is deprived of all the revenues and

IV Under the foregoing circumstances the Petitioner respectfully prays that the Petitioner's right of the properties may be declared & directed to be restored & that the said order dated 21st March 1907 by which right of the Petitioner will be ~~ex~~ [illegible]

[illegible]

Bangalore
23/12/07.

Muz. 1]

190

4.4.08

From

Mus F 306-07 Sh 2

To

The Dy. Commr
Kolar District

Dated

Despatched 14th April 1908

Received

General No. 4395

No.

Enclosure No. 3

In continuation of Govt
Secs no 2380 dated 9th Jany
1905
passing orders in the matter
of the restoration of the
Inam lands endowed to the
Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple
at Hanumenahally in the
Siddlaghatta Taluk, has the
honor to forward herewith
petition registered no 2991
dated 24th Jany 1908 from

G P L—3,000- 19-9 07

Khaddi Seshachar of Siddlaghatta prayings that the above order may be reviewed by Govt and that the Kanagurki Village of Hunnenahally may be made over to the Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple at Siddlaghatta.

2) The Dy Comm is requested to hear the petitioner in person, examine the documents relied upon by him and submit a detailed report together with the records of enquiry & the Dy Comms opinion on the prayer -

By order,

Sd/-

Secretary.

Copy to the petr

21]

ನಂ. 4396-ಸಿ 14/4/07

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ

,

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಮಾಹೆ 24 ನೇ

ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 1907 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

Secretary.

D 1703

190

From Decen 306-07

The Deputy Commissioner,

Kolar District.

To

The Secretary to the Govt: of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl.&.Rev. Departments, Mulgund Bridge.

Dated

Despatched 7th March 1910.

Received 8

General No. 6275

No. 208

Enclosure No. One file

Submitting a detailed report re the petition presented by Khaddi Seshachar regarding the restoration to him of certain Inam lands.

Refer

10/3/10

No. 6275/208 one file

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kolar District,
Dated Kolar, 7th March 1910

From

C.Srikanteswara Aiyar, Esqr., B.A.B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esqr., B.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Govt: of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Genl.&.Rev. Departments,
Muzrai Branch..

Sir,

With reference to your docket No.4295 dated 14th April 1909, forwarding the Revision petition of Khaddi Seshachar in re restoration to him of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Anjeneyaswami temple at Kanumenahalli, and asking for submission of a detailed report together with the records of enquiry in the matter after hearing the petitioner and examinning his documents I have the honor to state that the Sub Divisional Officer, Chikballapur who was directed to hold a preliminary enquiry into the matter, conducted the same and submitted the papers to me and that the petitioner was thereupon summoned and examined by me on the 10th December 1909. From the statements given by him before the Sub Division Officer and myself, it is clear that it was at his express request and representation that the village was registered as the Inam enquiry in the name of the Sri Anjeneya Swami temple at Hanumenahalli, and that such action was at the time taken by him with the express purpose of defrauding his co-sharers in the Pujari hak of the Siddhabetta Anjeneya Swami temple. The records further disclose that the petitioner was duly informed of the assumption of the management of the Inam village by Government by notices served on him from the taluk; and there are also his own statements before the then Amildar/

of Sidlaghatta Taluk on more than two occasions, showing that his plea of not having been given an opportunity to represent his case is quite untenable and not borne out by facts.

2. When the Inam village was under his management, he not only unauthorizedly and wrongfully alienated it, but neglected to conduct the worship in the temple and allowed it to fall into utter disrepair, in consequance of which it was that it was taken over under Government management under the authority of Government Proceedings No.718 dated 17th December 1897, and eventually restored to the sons of the alienee in pursuance of Government Proceedings No.2330/Muz. 46-98 dated 7th January 1905.

3. Under these circumstances it would be quite in - equitable to allow the petitioner to come at this late stage and profit by his own misdeeds and deceipt by disturbing the Government order of 1905.

4. The review petition together with its enclosures received with the above, and the statement of the petitione with the vernacular file of papers ending with Sidlaghatta Amildar's report No.122 dated 26th August 1908 are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner,

Kolar District.

7153 of 09-10

1909–10

From G. Aravamudiengar Esq B.
Sub. Division Officer,

To b. Srikanteswaraiengar Esq B.
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar

Dated 4th July 1909.

Despatched 5. 7. 09

Received

General No. 23

No.

Enclosure No. one file

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No 2597 dated 20th May 1908 forwarding for a/ enquiry and report the petition of one Khaddi Seshachar of Siddaghatta claiming the restoration to him of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Anjaneya swami temple at Hanumenahalli, I have the honour to state that both Kaddi Seshachar and Adinaranappa

the present Kadjamguttadar of the Inam village were heared by me and the documents produced by the former in support of his claim were also perused. The originals have been returned to Seshachar, copies of the same being retained in the file.

Kaddi Seshachar has also been examined at length by me on the nature of his claim and from his statement it would appear that it was at his express request and representation that the village was registered at the Inam enquiry in the name of the Hanumena-halli Anjaneyaswami and it further transpires that this was more or less intended to defraud the cosharers of Seshachar in the 'Pujari hak' of the Siddaghatta Anjaneya-swami temple.

In these circumstances it

it appears to me inexpedient to allow Seshachar to profit by his fraudulent transaction, particularly as the original endowment was made by a private party.

Further the Inam Title deed was issued so far back as 1880 and Seshachar cannot be said to have been ignorant of the decision of the Inam Commissioner and his silence throughout the period places him out of Court.

The memo under reference and the vernacular file of papers received from the Amildar with his report No 122 dated 26.8.08 are herewith submitted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

[illegible]

Sub-Division officer

Chikballapur

[illegible]

1783

Dft as per order

G.R.S. 29A]

190

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy. Commr

Dated Kolar Dist

Despatched

Received

General No. 3107

No. 5116-07

ENCLOSURE No.

29-7-10

His Letter no. 6275/208 Dy 14th March 1910 reporting on the petition of Khadali-Sesachar praying for a re-consideration of the Govt order relating to the restoration of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Anjaneya Swamy

Temple at Hanumenahalli
in the Siddlaghatta Tq,

With reference to
the above, has the honor
to state that Khadri
Lenachar when heard
before Govt. represented
that ⅔ of the village
was never in the possession
of Venkataramanappa.
The Dy. Commr is requested
to report if the allegation
is true and if so why
the whole village was
recommended to be given
back to the possession
of Venkataramanappa's
heirs.

27 The records are herewith returned for
ref & re-
Dupl Cos. under

28/7/1870

Secretary.

VIII
13/5

1 38

Jmur 1906-07.C.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To The Secretary to the Govt
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysor
Gen and Rev Departmen
Muzrai Branch.

Dated

Despatched 11.5.1911.

Received

General No. 8916
No. 303

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submitting a report arent
re restoration to one Khaddi
Seshachar, of certain Inam
lands endowed to Sri
Anjaneyaswami Temple
at Hanumenahalli, in the
Sidlaghatta Taluk.

C.8916/303 Sue 1/file

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Kolar District,

Dated Kolar, 11/12th May 1911.

From

C. Srikantesvara Aiyar, Esqr., B.A. B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To.

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esqr., B.A.,

Offg: Secretary to the Government of H.H. the

Maharaja of Mysore, Genl.&.Rev. Departments.

(Judicial Branch) Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No.307/306-07 dated 29th July 1910, calling for certain additional information regarding the resumption, to the Government, of the Inam lands attached to the Sri Ang[illegible] temple at Kalambella in the Sidlaghatta taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith original statements furnished by Annaswamy Pillai, son of Venkatarayappa on 28-8-1911, from which it will be seen that his ancestors not only purchased the [illegible] village.

2. I would invite reference to para 3 of this office letter No, 1[illegible]-10, dated 31st August 1904, in which it has been said that the [illegible] and enjoyment of Bhattar Vedapurushas to [illegible] and alienated etc.

3. The claimant has not only paid the Gutta amount of Rs. 40-10-0 due by Government, but has also expended repairs to the temple to the extent of Rs.250-/- in accordance with the direction contained in Government Proceedings No.2520 Muz.F.46-98 dated 7th January 1900. Arrangements have been made for conducting worship in the temple accordingly.

4. The records received with the above together with report No.708 dated 10th April 1911, from the Amildar of the taluk, are returned herewith for further reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. Srikantesvara Aiyar

For Deputy Commissioner.

No 3077 ఉ॥3 తి 31/5/11.
Mus T. 306-07

ఈ చిత్రంలో మనకు కనిపించే ప్రధాన అంశాలలో ఒకటి
ఆకాశం. ఆకాశంలో ఎక్కువ భాగం నీలి రంగులో
ఉంది. ఆకాశంలో కొన్ని తెల్లని మేఘాలు ఉన్నాయి.
ఆకాశం నీలి రంగులో ఉండటం వల్ల మేఘాలు
మరింత స్పష్టంగా కనిపిస్తున్నాయి. ఆకాశం
ఎంతో విశాలంగా ఉంది. ఆకాశం చూడటానికి
చాలా అందంగా ఉంది. ఆకాశం ఎంతో
ప్రశాంతంగా ఉంది. ఆకాశం చూస్తుంటే
మనసుకు ఎంతో ఆనందంగా ఉంటుంది.
ఆకాశం చూస్తుంటే మనసులో ఎన్నో
ఆలోచనలు వస్తాయి. ఆకాశం చూస్తుంటే
మనసులో ఎన్నో ప్రశ్నలు వస్తాయి.
ఆకాశం చూస్తుంటే మనసులో ఎన్నో
సమాధానాలు దొరుకుతాయి. ఆకాశం
చూస్తుంటే మనసులో ఎన్నో
ఆలోచనలు వస్తాయి. ఆకాశం
చూస్తుంటే మనసులో ఎన్నో
ప్రశ్నలు వస్తాయి. ఆకాశం
చూస్తుంటే మనసులో ఎన్నో
సమాధానాలు దొరుకుతాయి.

By order,

9/4/1911

for Secretary.

Copy is forwarded to the Deputy Commr
Kolar Dt. with reference to his letter
No 6275/208 dt 7th March 1911, returning
the R. ns received therewith

R.O.

9/4/1911

for Secy

27/8/11